

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,150	09/04/2001	Kenichiro Kami	12-006	9102
23400	7590 06/13/2003			
	CHARDS, PLC	EXAMINER		
SUITE 10	R BACON DRIVE	DOVE, TRACY MAE		
RESTON, VA. 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			æ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
O'CC A 4:	09/944,150	KAMI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
`	Tracy Dove	1745						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 S</u>	entember 2001							
	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to th	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.	,							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	•						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)	_							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT						
.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/944,150

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of forming a porous film, classified in class 264, subclass 48.
- II. Claims 17-19, drawn to a method of forming an electrode, classified in class 427, subclass 58.
- III. Claims 20-28 and 30, drawn to a porous film, classified in class 429, subclass 303.
- IV. Claims 29 and 31, drawn to an electrode, classified in class 429, subclass 209. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as the porous film may be used as a separator for a battery. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by a materially different process. The porous film may be modified by radiation or by an initiator.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,150

Art Unit: 1745

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The porous film functions to electrically isolate the electrode.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The porous film functions to electrically isolate the electrode.

Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by a different process. The porous film may be formed separately and then attached to the electrode or the porous film may be formed directly on the electrode.

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as the porous film may function as a separator for a battery. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 1745

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Groups III-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IIII is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with David Posz on June 11, 2003, Applicant requested a written restriction.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 703-308-8821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,150

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

June 11, 2003

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

-	Application N	olication No. Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/944,150		KAMI ET AL.			
microrew Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Tracy Dove	_	1745			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
1) <u>Tracy Dove</u> . (3)						
(2) <u>David Posz</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 11 June 2003.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner called to request a copy of the 1449 for the IDS submitted on 9/4/01 be faxed because it was missing from the case. Applicant stated that the only IDS filed was on 1/4/02. Examiner stated that the reference to an IDS as paper #4 would be deleted.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	•					
•						
		•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	1	Examiner's sign	ature, if required			